

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/803,938	<b>Applicant(s)</b> URABE, AKIO	
	<b>Examiner</b> CHAN S. PARK	<b>Art Unit</b> 2625	

**All Participants:**

(1) CHAN S. PARK.

(2) Brain Epstein (Reg. No. 60,329).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 April 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Authorization for the examiner's amendment was given*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/CHAN S PARK/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)